



### ANALYSIS

6. GTA and PDS have mutually negotiated the First Amendment; said amendment does not appear to violate any provision of Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
7. The Agreement does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the Interconnection Agreement pursuant to the standards set forth in 47 USC §252[e][2][A].
8. Furthermore, the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. It clarifies arrangements for provision of collocation services by GTA to PDS. One of the goals of the Guam Telecommunications Act of 2004 is to provide the consumers of Guam with the introduction of new telecommunications services and products.<sup>5</sup>

### RECOMMENDATION

9. The Joint Petition of the Parties should be approved, as the proposed Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement satisfies the requirements of 47 USC §252[e][2][A].
10. Counsel has submitted a proposed Order which would approve the adoption by the Parties of the First Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement.

Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2012.

---

Frederick J. Horecky  
PUC Legal Counsel

---

<sup>5</sup> Guam Telecommunications Act of 2004, 12 GCA §12101(3).