



which is “data.” GTA submits that because the ISDN-PRI has 23 channels, which can equate to lines, this satisfies the 10 or more business lines requirement for the ICB pricing.<sup>5</sup> GTA’s offering of the ISDN product satisfies the 10 or more business line requirement for ICB pricing.

5. Along with its filing, GTA has provided a confidential cost study which indicates its incremental cost for ISDN-PRI. GTA seeks an ICB for its ISDN-PRI service.
6. The background is further set forth in the PUC Counsel Report dated August 29, 2011, which is adopted herein.

### DETERMINATIONS

7. In this case, GTA seeks to offer ICB pricing to a business customer with more than 10 lines. GTA offers an ISDN-PRI service to Tristar with 24 channels (lines). The channels can equate to lines.
8. The cost per channel (line) offered by GTA to Tristar does not exceed the tariff prices provided in GTA’s General Exchange Tariff No. 1.<sup>6</sup>
9. GTA has filed Exhibit B to its Petition, the GTA LRIC methodology for GTA’s offering of ISDN-PRI to Tristar.<sup>7</sup>
10. The LRIC study filed by GTA [ISDN/PRI Revenue Requirement Summary] and the Proposed Agreement [Exhibit “C”] indicate that the cost for ISDN-PRI (Channelized T1 Costs) is above the average cost of ISDN-PRI developed in the LRIC study. The offered contract price for ISDN-PRI exceeds the incremental cost as determined using the LRIC-like standard.<sup>8</sup>
11. The per month charge proposed by GTA is above the incremental cost of providing ISDN-PRI service. The ICB charge is below the normal tariff rate of \$600.00 per month.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> Appendix 1 to Master Service Agreement, GTA Filing, GTA Docket 11-08, filed August 15, 2011; See also GTA Confidential Filing in GTA Docket 11-07, filed August 15, 2011, and GCG Report Re: GTA Individual Case Basis Tariff for Services Provided to Bank of Hawaii, GTA Docket 11-07, filed July 31, 2011.

<sup>6</sup> See GTA General Exchange Tariff No. 1; and GTA Filing for Individual Case Basis, GTA Docket 11-08, Exhibit B [ISDN/PRI Revenue Requirement Summary to Tristar Terminal].

<sup>7</sup> GTA Filing for Individual Case Basis, GTA Docket 11-08, Exhibit B.

<sup>8</sup> Id.

<sup>9</sup> Id.

**ORDERING PROVISIONS**

Upon consideration of the record herein, GTA's ICB filing for Tristar, and the PUC Legal Counsel Report, for good cause shown and on motion duly made, seconded and carried by the affirmative vote of the undersigned Commissioners, the Commission hereby ORDERS that:

1. GTA's Individual Case Basis Filing dated August 15, 2011, properly satisfies the three ICB Tariff conditions set forth in the PUC Order dated February 15, 2008 and is hereby approved.
2. The findings and recommendations in the PUC Counsel Reports dated August 15, 2011 are hereby adopted and approved.
3. GTA's ICB filing properly establishes, through its LRIC-like study, that the prices for ISDN-PRI offered to Tristar exceed incremental cost as determined using the LRIC study.
4. The ICB prices contained in the contract with Tristar as ICB prices for ISDN/PRI, shall be available to any similarly situated customer.
5. GTA is ordered to pay for the PUC's regulatory fees and expenses incurred in this Docket, including, without limitations, consulting and counsel fees and expenses. Assessments of the PUC's regulatory fees and expenses is authorized pursuant to 12 GCA §12002(b) and 12024(b), 12104, 12103, the Rules Governing Regulatory fees for Telecommunications Companies, and Rule 40 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure before the PUC.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011.

---

Jeffrey C. Johnson  
Chairman

---

Joseph M. McDonald  
Commissioner

---

Rowena E. Perez  
Commissioner

---

Filomena M. Cantoria  
Commissioner

---

Michael A. Pangelinan  
Commissioner