#### BEFORE THE GUAM PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

GUAM POWER AUTHORITY LEVELIZED ENERGY ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE [LEAC]

# DOCKET 02-04

#### **ORDER**

In accordance with the protocol established by Guam Public Utilities Commission [PUC] Order dated January 29, 1996, as amended by Order dated March 14, 2002, Guam Power Authority [GPA], by Filing dated December 15, 2008, requested that the current LEAC factor [\$0.17105 per kWh] for its civilian customers be decreased to \$0.157309 per kWh for meters read on and after February 1, 2009 and continuing until July 31, 2009. ¹ This change reflects a 5.8% decrease in the total bill, or \$13.74, for a residential customer utilizing an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month.

After conducting a review of GPA's Filing, PUC Regulatory Consultant Georgetown [GCG] initially recommended that GPA adopt a lower LEAC factor for meters read on or before February 1, 2009.<sup>2</sup> GPA and GCG met for a Regulatory Conference on January 14, 2009. At that time GPA presented evidence of its current difficult cash liquidity situation. GPA has been required to make weekly cash payments to cover margin calls on its fuel hedging contracts. In addition, GPA may be obligated to deposit increased amounts of capital into its Working Capital Fund. After discussions and negotiations between the parties, on January 21, 2009, GPA and GCG entered into a STIPULATION RE ADJUSTMENT OF LEAC FACTOR COMMENCING FEBRUARY 1, 2009, a true and correct copy of which is made *Attachment* A hereto.<sup>3</sup>

Based upon the volatility of fuel prices, the parties agree that a volatility factor of 7% should be added to the projected fuel prices in the determination of the new LEAC factor. Since an unforeseen precipitous drop in world oil prices would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The basis for GPA's LEAC filing is that fuel costs have decreased substantially in the last several months, and GPA desires to pass on the benefit of reduced fuel costs to its customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Letter dated January 10, 2009, from GCG to Chairman Jeffrey Johnson, re: GPA Request for Adjustment of LEAC Factor Effective February 1, 2009; GCG initially recommended that GPA's current LEAC factor be reduced to \$0.14603 per kWh effective on all meters read on or after February 1, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The parties recognize that during the term of this new LEAC factor (February 2, 2009 through July 2009) fuel prices are expected to remain very volatile. Such continuing volatility has had a significant negative impact on the cash requirements and liquidity of GPA. Such volatility makes accurate projections of fuel cost expenses difficult to make.

result in a significant decrease of the LEAC level, and given the concerns with GPA's liquidity, the parties have agreed that changes in the working capital related to the value of GPA's fuel inventory from the beginning of the LEAC period to the end of the 6 month projected period will be limited based upon an assumed oil inventory evaluation of \$64.46 per barrel. <sup>4</sup>

In accordance with the STIPULATION, the parties jointly recommend that the PUC approve a decrease in the LEAC factor from the current \$0.17105 per kWh to \$0.15763 per kWh effective on all meters read on or after February 1, 2009.

After carefully reviewing the record in this proceeding and after discussion at a duly noticed public meeting held on January 26, 2009, for good cause shown and on motion duly made, seconded and carried by affirmative vote of the undersigned commissioners, the Guam Public Utilities Commission hereby **ORDERS THAT:** 

- 1. A LEAC factor of \$0.15763 per kWh shall be used by GPA for all civilian bills, for meters read on and after February 1, 2009 to recover its forecasted fuel and related expenses, in accordance with the Schedules appended to *Attachment A* hereto. This change reflects a 5.67% decrease in the total bill, or \$13.42, for a residential customer utilizing an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month.
- 2. Should GPA propose an alternate to the Morgan Stanley fuel price forecast for the calculation of the LEAC, it shall present any such different forecast methodology to GCG for the next LEAC filing in accordance with the timeframes and requirements set forth in the STIPULATION.
- 3. Any accepted changes in the calculation of the LEAC factor relative to valuation of GPA's fuel inventory and calculation of volatility factors will only be applicable for the upcoming LEAC period on a one time basis. Future liquidity issues will be addressed by GPA in the next base rate case, which is anticipated to be filed in mid 2009, and will not be considered as a factor in the determination of future LEACs.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This evaluation of GPA's fuel inventory is calculated on a one time basis; in all future LEAC proceedings all changes in working capital levels related to its fuel inventory will be passed through the LEAC in the manner previously approved by the PUC. Any and all future liquidity issues will be addressed by GPA in its next base rate case, anticipated to be filed in mid 2009, and will not be considered as a factor in the determination of future LEAC calculations.

- 4. In accordance with the STIPULATION of GPA and GCG, a 7% line loss factor is hereby adopted by the Commission as an "interim standard" until the GPA Transmission Study is completed. GPA shall file the Transmission Study no later than December 31, 2009. A new line loss standard shall be proposed by GPA for determination by the PUC no later than February 1, 2010, for implementation in the LEAC factor.
- 5. Pursuant to the STIPULATION of the parties, the PUC recommends that GPA continue its oil hedging program. GPA shall report to the PUC no later than May 1, 2009 on actions taken by it in response to the volatility of oil prices and the impact of the hedging program on the financial liquidity of GPA. GPA should continue to pursue a SBLC to cover possible margin calls from third parties when the cost of oil falls below the floors of GPA's hedge contracts. Additional costs incurred by GPA in establishing its SBLC will be allowed to pass through the LEAC, as such costs are fuel related.

Filomena M. Cantoria

Joseph M. McDonald

Dated this 26th day of January 2009.

Jeffrey C. Johnson

Chairman

Rowena E. Perez

Michael A. Pangelinan



# BEFORE THE GUAM PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	<b>DOCKET 02-04</b>
GUAM POWER AUTHORITY LEVELIZED ENERGY ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE (LEAC)	)	STIPULATION RE ADJUSTMENT OF LEAC FACTOR COMMENCING FEBRUARY 1, 2009
	)	

Georgetown Consulting Group, Inc. ("GCG") and the Guam Power Authority ("GPA") hereby stipulate to the following facts and make the following recommendations to the Guam Public Utilities Commission ("PUC"):

- 1. In the current environment and for the term of the next LEAC factor (February 2009 through July 2009), it is expected that fuel prices will remain very volatile, as they have been during the periods of recent prior LEAC factors. This continuing volatility has had a significant negative impact on the cash requirements and liquidity of GPA. The continuing volatility also has made accurate projections of fuel cost expenses difficult
- 2. In order to deal with the effects of this continuing volatility in determining the LEAC factor to be implemented on February 1, 2009, it is recommended that the following process should be incorporated into the determination of the new LEAC factor:
  - a. GPA's petition, which uses projected fuel prices that are determined from a fuel price forecast produced by Morgan Stanley, should be updated to use the most current fuel price forecast from Morgan Stanley available at the time of this Stipulation. The January 8, 2009 Morgan Stanley fuel forecast should be used.
  - b. A volatility factor of 7% should be added to the projected fuel prices in the determination of the new LEAC factor. The Morgan Stanley January 8, 2009 fuel forecast should be increased by 7%. Both GCG and GPA state that this is a one time adjustment only and should not be used in future determinations of LEAC factors unless it is shown there are extraordinary circumstances that warrant the continued use of a volatility factor.

- 3. GPA has indicated that it desires to evaluate the use of an alternate to the Morgan Stanley fuel price forecast which GPA has used for the last several LEAC filings. In the event that GPA decides to propose the use of a different forecast methodology in the next LEAC filing, GPA agrees to file the proposed alternate forecast not less than 90 days prior to the effective date of the next LEAC factor and to provide justification for the requested change. The material to be filed by GPA should include a comparison of prior forecasts of the proposed forecast model and comparable Morgan Stanley prior forecasts as compared to the actual fuel prices for the periods under review.
- Under the current LEAC process previously recommended by GPA and GCG and 4. approved by the PUC, for each projected LEAC period the working capital change related the changes in the value of GPA's fuel inventory from the beginning of the LEAC period to the end of the six month projected period is to be passed through to GPA's ratepayers in the LEAC. GPA and GCG recommend that this process should be amended for the upcoming LEAC period on a one time basis. In view of the fact that the unforeseen precipitous drop in world oil prices would result in a significant decrease in the LEAC level and given the significant concerns with GPA's liquidity levels, GPA has requested and GCG has agreed to recommend to the PUC that the change in working capital levels for the next LEAC period be limited based on an assumed oil inventory valuation of \$64.46 GPA agrees that in all future LEAC proceedings all changes in working capital levels related to its fuel inventory will be passed through the LEAC in the manner previously approved by the PUC. Further, all liquidity issues will be addressed by GPA in its next base rate case which is anticipated to be filed in mid 2009 and will not be considered as a factor in the determination of future LEAC factors.
- 5. GPA and GCG agree that a 7% line loss factor should be adopted as an interim standard until the GPA Transmission Study is completed. GPA shall file the Transmission Study no later than December 31, 2009 and a new line loss standard shall be proposed for determination by the PUC for implementation in the LEAC factor no later than February 1, 2010. No adjustment for line loss should therefore be made in this proceeding.
- 6. GPA and GCG agree that GPA should continue its oil hedging program despite the significant losses projected to be incurred in the upcoming LEAC period. GPA agrees to report to the PUC no later than May 1, 2009 on actions taken by it in response to the volatility of oil prices and the impact of the hedging program on the financial liquidity of GPA. GPA and GCG continue to agree that it is prudent for GPA to pursue obtaining a standby letter of credit ("SBLC") to cover possible margin calls from third parties when the cost of oil falls below the floors of GPA's hedge contracts. If GPA is successful in obtaining a commitment for an SBLC on reasonable terms, the PUC should commit to allowing reasonable associated costs to pass through the LEAC as they are fuel related.

- 7. GPA and GCG recommend that the PUC approve a decrease in the LEAC factor from the current \$0.17105 per kWh to \$0.15763 per kWh effective on all meters read on or after February 1, 2009. Attached hereto as Attachment A are revised LEAC schedules reflecting this recommended factor.
- 8. GPA shall file its next LEAC request on or before June 15, 2009.

GEORGETOWN CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

By: BLAIR STERLING JOHNSON

MARTINEZ & LEON GUERRERO
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

DATED: JANUARY  $\mathcal{M}$ , 2009

WILLIAM J. BLAIR

Attorneys for Georgetown Consulting Group, Inc.

GUAM POWER AUTHORI

DATED: JANUARY, 2009

GRAHAM D. BOTHA

Staff Attorney

#### **ATTACHMENT**

G62\24931-61 G\WORDDOC\GCG\PLD\147-STIPULATION RE ADJUSTMENT OF LEAC FACTOR COMMENCING FEB 1 09 RE DKT 02-04.DOC

# Attachment LEAC Projection October 2008 Through March 2009

Adjustments to Filed Workbook:

- 1. Remove 120% factor from No.6 and No.2 oil
- 2. Estimate Fuel Inventory Value Decrease and Amortize
- 3. Update Price Projection to Jan. 8 MSENC

		AS FILED		ADJUSTED
		Six Months		Six Months
	En	ding July 31, 2009	En	ding July 31, 2009_
Cost of Number 6 Oil	\$	68,269,679	\$	68,773,062
Cost of Number 2 Oil		4,334,956		3,931,187
Total Oil Costs	\$	72,604,635	\$	72,704,249
Fuel Handling Costs		50,558,491		50,672,577
Total Fuel Costs	\$	123,163,126	\$	123,376,826
Civilian Allocation		79.78%		79.78%
Total LEAC Costs	\$	98,255,994	\$	98,426,477
Under/(Over) Recovery		7,151,305		7,300,021
Net LEAC Costs	\$	105,407,299	\$	105,726,498
Civilian Sales (kWh)		670,734		670,734
LEAC Factor		0.15715		0.15763
Current LEAC Factor		0.17105		0.17105
Decrease in Factor	\$	(0.013897)	\$	(0.013421)
Average Use-Res (kWh)		1,000		1,000
Monthly Incr. (Decr.)-Res.	\$	(13.90)	\$	(13.42)
Average Res. Bill	\$	236.89	\$	236.89
% Change		-5.87%		-5.67%

- Adjustments:
  1. Remove 120% Price Factor
- Update Prices for January 6, 2009 MS Energy Noon Call
   Include Inventory Valuation Amortization without limit

## Table for Report

		w/o	
	As Filed	120%	Updated
Jan-09	45.89	39.33	47.70
Feb-09	46.61	39.93	47.34
Mar-09	46.67	39.98	47.62
Apr-09	49.48	42.32	48.88
May-09	49.48	42.32	48.88
Jun-09	49.48	42.32	48.88
Jul-09	52.46	44.81	51.03

				Weighted
	Market	Floor 1	Floor 2	Price
% of Supply	50%	25%	25%	
Jan-09	\$ 45.89	\$ 110.08	\$ 101.49	\$ 75.84
Feb-09	46.61	110.08	101.49	76.20
Mar-09	46.67	110.08	101.49	76.23
Apr-09	49.48	96.21	93.45	72.15
May-09	49.48	96.21	93.45	72.15
Jun-09	49.48	96.21	93.45	72.15
Jul-09	52.46	93.94	None	62.83

7.0		62 Schedule 2 87 Schedule 3 0 Schedule 4 49 77 Schedule 5	34	198 177 20 1221) 0	316 Rate to fully recover in Six Mon	\$171.04906 Current rate 13 13) 0 7.300.021 Decrease/(increase) in Deferred F
	TOTALS 761,865 193,127 954,991	\$ 68,773,062 3,931,187 0 \$ 72,704,249 50,672,577 \$123,376,826	670,734	105,726,498 98,426,477 0 (7,300,021) 7,300,021	\$157.62816	\$171.049
FY 09  Navy 343,809 939.37 58.09 37.25 1.067.00	Jul-09 31 Forecast 130,485 33,077 163,562	\$ 12,006,672 93,924 0 12,100,595 4,829,008 \$ 16,929,604	114,877	18,107,853 13,505,950 (4,601,903)		4,601,90
	Jun-09 30 Forecast 126,276 32,010 158,286	\$ 11,612,972 68,990 0 \$ 11,681,962 \$ 20,161,687	111,171	17,523,729 16,084,413 (1,439,316)		6,041,219 (1,439,316) <u>4,601,903</u>
FY 09 Civilian 1,356,290 3,705.71 229.15 120.67 146.95 6,714	May-09 31 Forecast 130,485 33,077 163,562	\$ 11,550,322 632,458 03,458 \$ 12,182,780 <u>8479,473</u> \$ 20,662,253	114,877	18,107,853 16,483,750 (1,624,103)		7,665,322 (1,624,103) <u>6,041,219</u>
	Apr.09 30 Forecast 126,276 32,010 158,286	\$10,984,776 807,630 0 \$11,792,405 8,543,551 \$20,335,956	111,171	17,523,729 16,223,440 (1,300,289)		8,965,611 (1,300,289) Z.665,322
	Mar-09 31 Forecast 130,485 33,077 163,562	\$ 11,134,852 1,117,261 0 \$ 12,252,113 10,151,099 \$ 22,403,212	114,877	18,107,853 17,872,637 (235,216)		9,200,828 (235,216) <u>8,965,611</u>
Total 0 0.00 6.18% 3.26% 3.97% 0.18%	Feb-09 28 Forecast 117,858 29,876 147,733	\$ 11,483,470 1,210,924 0 8 12,694,394 10,189,721 \$ 22,884,115	103,760	16,355,480 18,256,287 0 1,900,807		7,300,021 1,900,807 9,200,828
				\$157.62816 79.777%		y 31, 2009
1 Start Date 2 Total Sales 3 Daily Sales 4 Plant Use 5 Transmission Loss 6 Distribution Loss 7 Company Use 8 Total Daily Demand	9 Month 10 Days 11 Required Generation-Civilian 12 Required Generation-Navy 13 TOTAL REQUIRED GENERATION	14 Number 6 (HSFO/LSFO) 15 Number 2 (GPA) 16 Number 2 (USN) 17 TOTAL COST 18 Handling Costs 19 TOTAL EXPENSE	Catculation of Civilian Factor 20 Sales-Civilian	21 Fuel Cost Recovery 22 Civilian Costs (Total Expense x %) 22a Deferred Fuel Amort. 23 Under/(Over) 24 Estimated Under/(Over) 25 Net Recovery Under/(Over)	26 Proposed Fuel Cost Recovery	Civilian Clause Reconciliation: 27 Opening Recovery Balance-January 31, 2009 Under/(Over) 29 Closing Recovery Balance

Bills Computed at 1000 kWh/month	Cur	Current	Current	œ	Rate to	드	Increase
	Ra	Rates	Bill	fully	fully recover	ĕ	(Decrease)
Customer Charge \$/month	ક્ક	5.21 \$	5.21	ω	5.21	υĐ	٠
Non Fuel Energy Charges (\$/Kwh)							
Lifeline Usage (500 Kwh)		0.03354	16.77	7	16.77	↔	•
Non Lifeline Usage		0.07950	39.75	5	39.75	₩	
WaterWell Charge							
Lifeline Usage (500 Kwh)	-	0.0000.0		0	0	₩	•
Non Lifeline Usage		0.00242	1.2	-	1.21	ω	•
Insurance Charge		0.0029	2.9	6	2.9	₩	1
Fuel Recovery Charge	\$157	\$157.62816	171.05	5	157.63	s	(13.42)
TOTAL Bill		•	236.89	*	223.47	•	(13.42)
Increase (Decrease) From Current Bilt				€9	(13.42)		
Percent Increase (Decrease)					-5.67%		
Decrease From Current Leac Factor				÷	(13.42)		
Percent Increase (Decrease)					-7.85%		

Baseload Unit Forecast
Cost of Number 6 Oil

	Cost of N	lumber 6 Oil					
IWPS TOTAL GENERATION	147,733	163,562	158,286	163,562	158,286	163,562	954,991
Cabras #1	<u>Feb-09</u>	<u>Mar-09</u>	<u>Apr-09</u>	<u>May-09</u>	<u>Jun-09</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	<u>Total</u>
Generation (Mwh)	8,022	16,837	32,469	35,426	29,744	30,397	152,895
Kwh/Barrel	602	602	602	602	602	602	
Barrels	13,326	27,969	53,935	58,847	49,409	50,493	253,979
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	10,133	10,133	10,133	10,133	10,133	10,133	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,						
Cabras #2							
Generation (Mwh)	23,200	24,107	14,885	0	22,881	27,329	112,401
Kwh/Barrel	602	602	602	602	602	602	
Barrels	38,538	40,044	24,725	0	38,009	45,397	186,713
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	10,133	10,133	10,133	0	10,133	10,133	
Cabras #3							400.040
Generation (Mwh)	18,777	25,058	19,596	25,643	24,288	19,980	133,342
Kwh/Barrel	765	765	765	765	765	765	
Barrels	24,545	32,755	25,615	33,521	31,749	26,117	174,303
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	7,974	7,974	7,974	7,974	7,974	7,974	
Cabras #4							404.550
Generation (Mwh)	20,436	20,141	23,177	25,358	19,550	23,290	131,952
Kwh/Barrel	760	760	760	760	760	760	4M0 000
Barrels	26,890	26,502	30,496	33,366	25,723	30,644	173,622
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	8,026	8,026	8,026	8,026	8,026	8,026	
Tanguisson #1				0.000	0.704	0.000	40.000
Generation (Mwh)	8,563	7,761	8,324	9,066	3,784	2,860	40,358
Kwh/Barrel	491	491	491	491	491 7.707	491	82,195
Barrels	17,439	15,807	16,953	18,464	7,707	5,825	62, 195
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	12,424	12,424	12,424	12,424	12,424	12,424	
T							
Tanguisson #2	7.042	5,000	4,782	8,857	6,909	7,009	41,407
Generation (Mwh)	7,943 487	5,908 487	4,762	487	487	487	71,101
Kwh/Barrel			9,819	18,187	14,186	14,392	85,026
Barrels	16,310	12,131	12,526	12,526	12,526	12,526	00,020
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	12,526	12,526	12,520	12,520	12,020	12,020	
Dist Dance Black 4.9.5							
Piti Power Plant 4 & 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Generation (Mwh)	463	463	463	463	463	463	•
Kwh/Barrel Barrels	403	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	Ö	ŏ	ő	ō	Ō	
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	U	·	Ū		_		
Enron (IPP) Piti #8							
Generation (Mwh)	26,949	27,372	23,610	25,796	24,357	25,069	153,153
Kwh/Barrel	719	719	719	719	719	719	
Barrels	37.481	38.070	32,837	35,877	33,876	34,867	213,008
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	8,484	8,484	8,484	8,484	8,484	8,484	
William (11000 11010)		-,					
Enron (IPP) Piti #9							
Generation (Mwh)	25,968	29,324	26,395	29,709	26,336	27,034	164,766
Kwh/Barrel	713	713	713	713	713	713	
Barrels	36,421	41,128	37,020	41,668	36,937	37,916	231,089
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	8,555	8,555	8,555	8,555	8,555	8,555	
	, -	•					
Total Generation (Mwh)	139,859	156,509	153,237	159,855	157,849	162,967	930,276
Total Barrels	210,951	234,406	231,401	239,930	237,596	245,651	1,399,935
Price/Barrel	\$54.44	\$47.50	\$47.47	\$48.14	\$48.88	\$48.88	
Total Cost (Sch. 6)	\$11,483,470	\$11,134,852	\$10,984,776	\$11,550,322	\$11,612,972	\$12,006,672	\$68,773,062
- ·							
					48887	40001	070/
% to Total MWH Generation	95%	96%	97%		100%	100%	97%
% to Fuel Cost	90%	91%	93%	95%	99%	99%	95%

#### THE GUAM POWER AUTHORITY GPA Diesel Unit Forecast Cost of Number 2 Oil

Remaining Demand	7,875	7,053	5,049	3,707	437	595	24,716
	<u>Feb-09</u>	<u>Mar-09</u>	<u>Apr-09</u>	<u>May-09</u>	<u>00-nuL</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	<u>Total</u>
Dededo CT #1							
Generation (Mwh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel	374	374	374	374	374	374	
Barrels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dededo CT #2							
Generation (Mwh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel	374	374	374	374	374	374	
Barrels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Macheche CT							
Generation (Mwh)	87	212	82	116	0	0	498
Kwh/Barrel	472	472	472	472	472	472	
Barrels	185	449	175	246	0	0	1,054
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	12,288	12,288	12,288	0	0	0	
Yigo CT							
Generation (Mwh)	264	514	437	670	0	0	1,884
Kwh/Barrel	446	446	446	446	446	446	
Barrels	591	1,153	979	1,502	0	0	4,224
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tenjo Vista							
Generation (Mwh)	6,680	5,253	4,044	2,504	429	552	19,462
Kwh/Barrel	622	622	622	622	622	622	
Barrels	10,739	8,445	6,502	4,025	690	887	31,289
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	9,325	9,325	9,325	9,325	9,325	9,325	
TEMES							
Generation (Mwh)	0	32	0	0	0	0	32
Kwh/Barrel	410	410	410	410	410	410	
Barrels	0	79	0	0	0	0	79
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)	0	14,146	0	0	0	0	

		<u>Feb-09</u>	<u>Mar-09</u>	<u>Apr-09</u>	<u>May-09</u>	<u>Jun-09</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	<u>Total</u>
Manengon (MDI)								
Generation (Mwh)		228	285	121	93	0	4	731
Kwh/Barrel		647	647	647	647	647	647	
Barrels		353	441	187	143	0	6	1,130
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)		8,964	8,964	8,964	8,964	0	8,964	
Talofofo								
Generation (Mwh)		616	757	365	325	8	39	2,109
Kwh/Barrel		619	619	619	619	619	619	
Barrels		995	1,222	589	524	13	63	3,406
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)		9,370	9,370	9,370	9,370	9,370	9,370	
Marbo CT								
Generation (Mwh)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel		293	293	293	293	293	293	
Barrels		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dededo Diesel								
Generation (Mwh)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel		521	521	521	521	521	521	
Barrels		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mmbtu/Kwh (Heat Rate)		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Generation (MWH) #2 Units		7,875	7,053	5,049	3,707	437	595	
Total Barrels		12,863	11,790	8,432	6,440	703	956	41,183
Price/Barrel-See Schedule 7	\$	94.14	\$ 94.77	\$ 95.78	\$ 98.21	\$ 98.21	\$ 98.21	\$ 95.46
Total Cost	·	\$1,210,924	\$1,117,261	\$807,630	\$632,458	\$68,990	\$93,924	\$3,931,187
Total Gross Generation		147,733	163,562	158,286	163,562	158,286	163,562	
Total Barrels		223,814	246,196	239,832	246,370	238,299	246,608	
% to Total MWH Generation		5%	4%	3%	2%	0%	0%	
% to Fuel Cost		10%	9%	7%	5%	1%	1%	

#### GUAM POWER AUTHORITY Navy Dispatch

Remaining Demand	(0)	) 0	(0)	) (0)	0	0	
	Feb-09	<u>Mar-09</u>	<u>Apr-09</u>	<u>May-09</u>	<u>Jun-09</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	<u>Total</u>
New Orote Plant	_	_		•	•		•
Generation (Mwh)	0	0	_	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel	600			600	600	600	_
Barrels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Radio Barrigada Muse							
Generation (Mwh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel	550	550	550	550	550	550	
Barrels	0	0		0	0	0	0
Naval Hospital Muse							
Generation (Mwh)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kwh/Barrel `	550	550	550	550	550	550	
Barrels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Barrels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price/Barrel	\$ 94.14	\$ 94.77	\$ 95.78	\$ 98.21	\$ 98.21	\$ 98.21	
Total Cost	\$0	,		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
,	**	• •	, -				
Remaining Demand	(0)	) 0	(0)	(0)	0	0	0

#### **GUAM POWER AUTHORITY** Fuel Handling and Other Costs

	Feb-0	<u>Mar-09</u>	Apr-09	May-09	<u>Jun-09</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	<u>Total</u>
Total Number Six Consumption	210,95	234,406	231,401	239,930	237,596	245,651	1,399,935
Dock Usage Fee/Barrel	\$0.2	\$0.24	\$0.24	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.23	
Total Dock Fee-Shell (FY09 Budget)	\$55,47	2 \$55,472	\$55,472	\$55,472	\$55,472	\$55,472	\$332,832
A) Excess Laytime/Overtime-Shell	2,26	2,515	2,483	2,574	2,549	2,636	15,022
Storage Tank Rental-Shell (FY09 Budget)	115,56	115,560	115,560	115,560	115,560	115,560	693,360
Pipeline Fee-Shell (FY09 Budget)	<u>52,15</u>	<u>52,157</u>	<u>52,157</u>	<u>52,157</u>	<u>52,157</u>	<u>52,157</u>	<u>312,943</u>
TOTAL SHELL	\$225,45	3 \$225,704	\$225,672	\$225,764	\$225,739	\$225,825	\$1,354,157
PEDCO Management Fee (actual monthly invoice)	\$54,35			\$54,356	\$54,356	\$54,356	\$326,136
Ship Demurrage Cost (FY 09 Budget)	14,50			14,500	14,500	14,500	\$87,000
D) Fuel Hedging loss/gain (estimated)	8,549,46			6,905,277	6,905,277	3,252,735	41,030,161
E) Lube Oil ( FY09 1.2M)	100,00			100,000	100,000	100,000	600,000
Subscription Delivery fee, Vacuum Rental, Hauling (FY09 Budgel)	8,11			8,117	8,117	8,117	48,700
F) Sale of fuel to Matson	(64,98						(389,884)
G) Wind Study approved by PUC	66,66			0	0	0	200,000
<ul> <li>H) Inventory growth to be recovered this period -09/30/07 vs. 9/30/08</li> </ul>	1,634,02			1,634,020	1,634,020	1,634,020	9,804,118
<ol> <li>Inventory growth to be recovered this period -07/31/09 vs. 01/31/09</li> </ol>	(478,18		, , ,			(478,187)	(2,869,123)
CCU Approved Offset of \$2.5 million		) (		0	D	0	0
SGS Inspection ( FY 09 Budget)	<u> 19,17</u>			<u> 19,177</u>	<u>19,177</u>	<u>19,177</u>	<u>115,065</u>
TOTAL	\$9,903,13	3 \$9,865,799	\$8,258,946	\$8,192,279	\$8,192,279	\$4,539,737	\$48,952,173
Property Insurance Assignable to fuel		) (	0	0	0	0	\$0
Property insurance Assignable to fuel Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.		) ( <u>)</u>	. 0	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>
			. 0		_		
		<u>9</u> <u>9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u> 0	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.		2 <u>0</u> 0 0 7 \$ 10,417	0 0 \$ 10,417	<u>o</u>	<u>o</u> 0	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u> \$0
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges	\$ 10,41	0 0 7 \$ 10,417 9 49,179	. 0 0 1 \$ 10,417 48,516	© 0 \$ 10,417	0 0 \$ 10,417	0 0 \$ 10,417	0 \$0 \$62,500
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges	\$ 10,41 50,71	0 0 7 \$ 10,417 9 49,179	. 0 0 1 \$ 10,417 48,516	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,014	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291	\$ 10,417 53,029	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges,Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:	\$ 10,41 50,71	0 0 7 \$ 10,417 9 49,179	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,014 \$8,479,473	\$ 10,417 51,291 \$8,479,725	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges,Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laytime & O/T Charges for	\$ 10,41 50,71 <u>\$10,189,72</u>	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543.551	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,014 \$8,479,473 g Gain/loss - Hee	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8.479.725	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laylime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08	\$ 10,41 50,71 <u>\$10,189,72</u> \$28,15	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,014 \$8,479,473 g Gain/loss - Hee	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8.479.725	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges,Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laytime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08  Total barrels offloaded FY 2008	\$ 10.41 50.71 \$10.189.72 \$28.15 2,623.89	9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551 (D) Fuel Hedgin October 08 thru	© 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8,479,725 dging Contract is	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laylime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08	\$ 10,41 50,71 <u>\$10,189,72</u> \$28,15	9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551 (D) Fuel Hedgin October 08 thru	© 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8.479.725	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laytime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08  Total barrels offloaded FY 2008  Rate per barrel	\$ 10,41 50,71 \$10,189,72 \$28,15 2,623,89 \$0,010	9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551 (D) Fuel Hedgin October 08 thru (E) Lube oil is ba	© 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8,479,725 dging Contract is	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laylime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08  Total barrels offloaded FY 2008  Rate per barrel  (B) Total Bank Charges (commission, issuance, LC fees)	\$ 10,41 50,71 \$10,189,72 \$28,15 2,623,89 \$0,010 FY 08	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543,551 (D) Fuel Hedgin October 08 thru (E) Lube oil is be (F) Sale to Matso	© 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8,479,725 dging Contract is	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	© \$0 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577
Excess & Pollution Liability Ins.  C) Labor charges  B) L/C Charges, Bank Charges  TOTAL ADDITIONAL COST  Notes:  (A) Total Excess Laytime & O/T Charges for period 10/07 thru 9/08  Total barrels offloaded FY 2008  Rate per barrel	\$ 10,41 50,71 \$10,189,72 \$28,15 2,623,89 \$0,010 FY 08 2,65	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 10,417 48,516 \$8,543.551 (D) Fuel Hedgin October 08 thru (E) Lube oil is be (F) Sale to Matso Average No. of	© 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 \$ 10,417 51,291 \$8,479,725 dging Contract Is 0 dget of (\$1,200,00	0 0 \$ 10,417 53,029 \$4,829,008	\$62,500 \$62,500 \$303,748 \$50,672,577 50,672,577

\$ 125,000.00

\$ 10,416.67

12.00

(c) Fiscal Year 09 budget for Labor

Estimated labor charges fy09

Divided by 12 months

H) Inventory Growth calculated as follows: 09/30/07 vs. 9/30/08

G) Wind study

Monthly recovery

6 Months amortization

Description	Barrels	Unit cost		Amount
Estimated ending inventory as of 09/30/08	489,199	115,363	\$	56,435,590.73
Actual ending inventory as of 09/30/07	489,199	64.455	\$	31,531,354.20
Change in fuel inventory		50.908	۰	24,904,236.53
Less: Amount, collectedon from gov. guam			44	5,296,000.00
Amount recoverable for 12 months			\$	19,608,236.53
Divided by 12 months-to recover every month			\$	1,634,019.71

400,000

66,667

6

# I) Inventory Growth calculated as follows: 01/31/09 vs. 07/31/09

Description	Barrels	Unit.cost	L.,	Amount
Estimated ending Inventory as of 07.31.09	489,199	64.455	\$	31,531,354.20
Estimated ending Inventory as of 01.31.09	489,199	81,146	\$	39,696,477.49
Change in fuel inventory	489,199	(16.691)	\$_	(8,165,123.29)
Add Amount collectedon from gov. guam			\$	5,296,000.00
Amount recoverable for 6 months			\$	(2,869,123.29)
Divided by 6 months-to recover every month			\$	(478,187,22

Note: 7/31/09 ending inventory unit cost is \$58.503 per barrel however GPA is using the unit cost of \$64.55 In order for GPA to maintain the same level of reimbursement, for fuel inventory cost change. At the same time, we are adding back the \$5.3M adjustment as per reconcillation below:

FY 07 vs, FY 08 Inventory Change \$ 24,904,236.53 Less: Amount collectedon from gov. gr \$ (5,295,000.00) Additional Fuel cost to ratepayers \$ 19,608,236.53

Oct. 2008 vs. January 09 Inventory Change \$ (16,737,890.45) Jan., 2009 vs. July 09 Inventory Change \$ (8,165,123.29) Total Inventory Change in FY 09 \$ (24,903,013,74) Add: Amount collected from gov. guam \$ 5,296,000.00 Additional credit to ratepayers \$\_(19,607,013.7<u>4</u>)

34.65 41.18 40.81 41.10 42.35 42.35 42.35 44.50

41.18 47.70 47.34 47.62 48.88 48.88 48.68 51.03

# GUAM POWER AUTHORITY inventory Effect of Number Six Costs

		Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Ending
Layer 1	Inventory (bbls)	77,413		-	-	-		
-	Price/Bbl	66.06	66.06	66.06	66.06	66.06	66.06	66.06
Layer 2	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	106,462	-	•	0	0	0
•	Price/Bbl	47.70	47.70	47.70	47.70	47.70	47.70	47.70
Layer 3	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	250,000	122,056	-	-	-	-
	Price/Bbl	47.34	47.34	47.34	47.34	47.34	47.34	47.34
Layer 4	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	250,000	250,000	140,655.29	•	-	G
•	Price/Bbl	47.62	47.62	47.62	47.62	47.62	47.62	47.62
Layer 5	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	250,000	250,000	250,000.00	109,344.71	-	0
	Price/Bbl	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88
Layer 6	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	250,000	250,000	250,000.00	250,000.00	121,748.47	0
	Price/Bbl	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88
Layer 7	Inventory (bbls)	240,000	250,000	250,000	250,000.00	250,000.00	250,000.00	126,097
	Price/Bbl	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.88	48.68	48.88
Total Consump	tion (bbls)	210,951	234,406	231,401	239,930	237,596	245,651	
Total Barrels	Layer 1	77,413	0	o	0	0	0	
	Layer 2	133,538	106,462	0	0	0	0	
	Layer 3	0	127,944	122,056	0	0	0	
	Layer 4	Ŏ	0	109,345	140,655	0	0	
	Layer 5	Ö	0	. 0	99,275	109,345	0	
	Layer 6	Ŏ	Ď	ō	0	128,252	121,748	
	Layer 7	Ö	ő	ō	Ö	0	123,903	
	Total	210,951	234,406	231,401	239,930	237,596	245,651	
Cost	Layer 1	\$5.113.490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Cuai	Layer 2	6,369,980	5,078,389	-	-		-	
	Layer 3	-	6,056,463	5,777,728	_		_	
	Layer 4	_	0,000,100	5,207,047	6,698.072		_	
	Layer 5	<u>_</u>	_	0,251,511	4,852,249	5.344,432	_	
	Layer 6		_		.,002,1.	6,268,540	5,950,690	
	Layer 7	=	•	-	-	-	6,055,982	
	Total	\$11,483,470	\$11,134,852	\$10,984,776	\$11,550,322	\$11,612,972	\$12,006,672	\$68,773,062
	Price Per Barrel	\$54.44	\$47.50	\$47,47	\$48.14	\$48.88	\$48.88	

Sep-08 Oct-08	115.36 109.46	Actual						0		He	dging Price
Nov-08 Dec-08 Jan-09 Feb-09 Mar-09 Apr-09 May-09 Jun-09 Jul-09	66.06 47.70 47.34 47.62 48.88 48.88	Actual Actual N Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast Forecast	lote: Fuel forecast was based Morgan Sta Energy Noon Call Asia on Sing HSF dated 1/08/09		25 25 25 26 26 28	3.75 4.00 1.75 3.50 1.25 1.25 1.25 4.50	5.303 5.303 5.303 5.303 5.303 5.303 5.303 5.303	8.788 8.788 8.768 8.768 8.768 8.768 8.768 8.768	6.523 6.523 6.523 6.523 6.523 6.523 6.523 6.523	1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07	228.71 271.78 269.37 271.25 279.54 279.54 279.54 293.72
Balance as of 09	9.30.08	H	.SFO ISFO 'otal Endind as 09.30.08	142,773 163,579 306,352	11:	8.10 2.97 5.36	16,861,821.73 18,479,951.34 35,341,773				
		L H	nonih of October 2008 .SFO ISFO 'Otal	140,715 100,825 241,540	10	0.91 7.43 9.46	15,607,122.80 10,831,428.10 26,438,551				
		L H	nonth of November 2008 .SFO ISFO Total	100,718 140,068 240,786	9	8.26 4.78 6.24	9,896,953.55 13,275,504.97 23,172,458.52				
		L H	nonth of December 2008 .SFO dSFO fotal	237,263 237,263		6.06	15,672,407.67 15,672,407.67				

Workpaper for Nur	mber 2 oil pricing:
	May-08
Actual Invoice	Shell
Temes	0.0000
Diesel	0.0000
Tenio	4.4930
	0.0440

Cabras 1&2/Tango 2.9440
Total 7.4370
Average 3.7185
Multiplied by 42 \$156.177

Premium fee \$ 14.20 Effective June 1, 2007

Forecast Price dated 1/08/09

Oct-08	\$ -	Note: Fuel forecast was based on Morgan Stanley	-	0	-
Nov-08	\$ 156.177 Actual	Gasoil swaps dated 1/08/09	-	0	-
Dec-08	\$ 90.15 Forecast		468.50	1.07	501.30
Jan-09	\$ 91.69 Forecast		478.00	1.07	511.46
Feb-09	\$ 94,14 Forecast		493.09	1.07	527.61
Mar-09	\$ 94.77 Forecast		496.95	1.07	531.74
Apr-09	\$ 95.78 Forecast		503.23	1.07	538.46
May-09	\$ 98,21 Forecast		518.17	1.07	554.44
Jun-09	\$ 98.21 Forecast		518.17	1.07	554.44
Jul-09	\$ 98.21 Forecast		518.17	1.07	554.44
Aug-09	\$ 102.49 Forecast		544.57	1.07	582.69
Sep-09	\$ 103.44 Forecast		550.48	1.07	589.01

### **FUEL HEDGING PROGRAM** GAIN/(LOSS)

#### **GPA HEDGING CALCULATION**

Platt's Posted Price Diff. between Platts Price vs.

Contract

GPA GAIN / (LOSS)

					HSFO 180 cst	Cap/Floor	Quantity		GAIN / (LOSS)
FY 2009	Trade Date	Month	Cap. Price	Floor Price	\$/MT	\$	MT		(\$)
									· <del>-</del>
ВР	6/2/2008	October	\$691.00	\$584.10	400.961	(\$183.139)	9,969	\$	(1,825,712.69)
Morgan	6/20/2008	October	\$733.00	\$633.50	400.961	(\$232.539)	9,969	\$	(2,318,181.29)
			D NET GPA	GAIN/(LOSS)				\$	(4,143,893.98)
ВР	6/2/2008	November	\$691.00	\$584.10	243.570	(\$340.530)	9,969	\$	(3,394,743.57)
Morgan	6/20/2008	November	\$733.00	\$633.50	243.570	(\$389.930)	9,969	\$	(3,887,212.17)
J		PROJECTE	D NET GPA	GAIN/(LOSS)		<del></del>		\$	(7,281,955.74)
ВР	6/2/2008	December	\$691.00	\$584.10	228.713	(\$355.388)	9,969	\$	(3,542,857.99)
Morgan	6/20/2008	December	\$733.00	\$633.50	228.713	(\$404.788)	9,969	\$	(4,035,326.59)
			D NET GPA					\$	(7,578,184.58)
Goldman	7/3/2008	January	\$827.00	\$726.50	271.780	(\$454.720)	9,969	\$	(4,533,103.68)
ВР	7/25/2008		\$772.00	\$669.85	271.780	(\$398.070)	9,969	\$	(3,968,359.83)
<b>-</b> .			D NET GPA					\$	(8,501,463.51)
Goldman	7/3/2008	February	\$827.00	\$726.50	269.373	(\$457.128)	9,969	\$	(4,557,104.05)
		February	\$772.00	\$669.85	269.373	(\$400.478)	9,969	\$	(3,992,360.20)
BP	7/25/2008		D NET GPA	<del></del>	203.310	(\$400.410)		\$	(8,549,464.25)
			1 4	Aras 75	074.045	(\$455.055)	9,969	\$	(4,538,437.10)
Goldman	7/3/2008	March	\$827.00	\$726.50	271.245	(\$455.255)	9,969	\$	(3,973,693.25)
BP	7/25/2008	March	\$772.00	\$669.85	271.245	(\$398.605)	9,909	\$	(8,512,130.34)
		PROJECTE	D NET GPA	JAIN/(LUSS)		-		Ψ	(0,512,100.54)
ВР	8/8/2008	April	\$746.00	\$635.00	279.538	(\$355.463)	9,969	\$	(3,543,605.66)
Morgan	8/13/2008		\$693.00	\$616.75	279.538	(\$337.213)	9,969	\$	(3,361,671.41)
, moi gan			D NET GPA	<del></del>				\$	(6,905,277.08)
ВР	8/8/2008	May	\$746.00	\$635.00	279.538	(\$355.463)	9,969	\$	(3,543,605.66)
	8/13/2008		\$693.00	\$616.75	279.538	(\$337.213)	9,969	\$	(3,361,671.41)
Morgan	6/13/2006		D NET GPA	<del></del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	(6,905,277.08)
	0/0/0000	1	£746.00	\$635.00	279.538	(\$355.463)	9,969	\$	(3,543,605.66)
BP	8/8/2008	June	\$746.00	<del></del>	279.538	(\$337.213)	9,969	\$	(3,361,671.41)
Morgan	8/13/2008		D NET GPA	\$616.75   GAIN/(LOSS)	273.330	(40071210)		\$	(6,905,277.08)
	0/=/0000	10-5-	£660.00	\$620.00	293.715	(\$326.285)	9,969	\$	(3,252,735.17)
BP	9/5/2008	July	\$662.00	\$620.00	233.113	\$0.000	0	\$	
l		PROJECTE	D NET GPA	GAIN/(LOSS)		70.000		\$	(3,252,735.17)
	The same		_ <del>-</del>					\$	(68,535,658.78)
	Total for FY	2009	<u> </u>						<u></u>

		GPA HED	GE CONTRACTS	<b>S</b>	
	Trade Date	Quantity	Period	Call Strike \$	Put Strike \$
J Aron	12/5/2007	9969	01/02/2008 - 03/31/2008	520.00	440.00
Morgan Stanley	1/14/2008	9969	01/14-31/2008	519.00	457.00
Morgan Stanley	1/14/2008	9969	02/01-29/2008	519.00	450.75
Morgan Stanley	1/14/2008	9969	03/01-31/2008	519.00	454.50
J Aron	12/5/2007	9969	01/02/2008-03/31/2008	520.00	440.00
J Aron	1/17/2008	9969	04/01/2008 - 06/30/2008	522.00	438.75
Goldman	3/24/2008	9969	07/01/2008 - 09/30/2008	520.00	486.50
Morgan Stanley	5/23/2008	9969	07/01/2008 - 09/30/2008	710.00	618.25
BP Singapore	6/2/2008	9969	10/01/2008 - 12/31/2008	\$691.00	\$584.10
Morgan Stanley	6/20/2008	9969	10/01/2008 - 12/31/2008	733.00	633.50
Goldman	7/3/2008	9969	1/1/09-3/31/09	827.00	\$726.50
BP	7/25/2008	9969	1/1/09-3/31/09	772.00	\$669.85
BP Singapore	8/8/2008	9969	4/1/09-6/30/09	746.00	\$635.00
Morgan	8/13/2008	9969	4/1/09-6/30/09	693.00	\$616.75
BP Singapore	9/5/2008	9969	7/1/09-9/30/09	662.00	\$620.00

(63,562	Jul-08	30,397	27,329	. 33.290	25,069	27,034	2,860	7,009	1	ı	1	ť			ı	***************************************	1		7		24	19	132	124	105	82	62	2#	200	700,50
Forecast by	Jul-08	31,295	28,136	23.978	25,809	27,832	2,945	7,216			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	4	•	24	16	136	128	108	84	64	48	160 000	106,392
158,286	Jun-08	29,744	22,881	19.550	24,357	26,336	3,784	6,909		Î	1	ı	<b>7</b>		i	ı	ľ	***************************************		ı	σ.		144	হ	20	6	٥	2	20000	(36,280
Forecast by	Jun-08	30,498	23,462	20.046	24,974	27,004	3,880	7,084	•	·	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	∞	t	148	124	96	44	16	12	- 200	105,300
163,562	May-08	35,426	C.F.O. D.C.	25,358	25,796	29,709	990'6	8,857		Ľ	116		670	1		ı	I	•	93	ı	ద్ద	124	653	622	487	278	247	216	400	7ac'ca
Forecast by	May-08	36,675		26.252	26,705	30,756	9,386	9,169		I	120	ı	693	ı	•	•		•	96	ı	208	128	929	644	504	288	256	224		109,326
158,286	Apr-08	32,469	14,885	23.177	23,610	26,395	8,324	4,782			82		437	4			¥		33	88	110	254	313	367	901	810	931	1,016	1000	1/2,050 135,250
Forecast by	Apr-08	35,422	16,238	25.285	25,757	28,795	9,081	5,217	•	t.	8	•	476	•	•		•	•	98	96	120	278	348	400	929	884	1,016	1,108	- 470 000	1/ <b>2</b> ,000
163,562 Y	Mar-08	16,837	24,107	20.03 20.141	27,372	29,324	7,761	5,908			272	1	514	32		ı	¥	*	85	200	272	485	674	790	856	929	998	1,006	000	700'50
Forecast by	Mar-08	17,475	25,020	20,007	28,409	30,435	8,055	6,131	0	0	220	0	534	34	0	0	0	0	88	208	282	503	200	820	888	964	1,036	1,044		00/'601
147,733	Feb-08	8,022	23,200	20.436 20.436	26,949	25,968	8,563	7,943	1	1	87		264					1	23	205	298	318	821	980	1,077	1,200	1,297	1,305		14/4/55
ERATION (MM Forecast by	Generation Feb-08	8,287	23,964	19,330 21 110	27,837	26,824	8,845	8,205	1	I	06	1	272	I	I	i i	t	1	24	212	308	328	848	1,012	1,112	1,240	1,340	1,348	- 700	∭ 009,2€1
IWPS TOTAL GENERATION (MW		Cabras 1	Cabras 2	Cabras 5	ENRON 1	ENRON 2	HEI 1	HEI 2	Dededo CT 1	Dededo CT 2	Macheche CT	Marbo CT	Yigo CT	TEMES CT	Dededo Diesel 1	Dededo Diesel 2	Dededo Diesel 3	Dededo Diesel 4	Pulantat Diesel 1	Pulantat Diesel 2	Talofofo Diesel 1	Talofofo Diesel 2	Tenjo Diesel 1	Tenjo Diesel 2	Tenjo Diesel 3	Tenjo Diesel 4	Tenjo Diesel 5	Tenjo Diesel 6		

#### ASSUMPTIONS/ADD'L INFORMATION:

- Total sales (Civilian & Navy) same as used in the Docket 98-002.
   Plant use, losses and company use as a ratio to sales are calculated as follows.

_, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Ratio		
		<u>Mwh</u>	to Sales		
Total Mwh Sales -FY08		1,636,791			Ratio to net send out **
Plant Use - (FY 08)		101,216	6.18%		1,757,962
Transmission Losses (Note A)		53,299	3.26%		6.72%
Distribution losses (Note A)		64,909	3.97%		
Company use (FY08)		2,963	0.18%		
, , ,					**tie in to report GPA 318 as of 09.30.08
			Allocated		
			FY05		
Note A:	<u>Mwh</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	T&D Losses		
Total T&D losses FY07	<u>118,208</u>		<u>7.22%</u>	(Ratio to sales)	
Transmission losses-9/30/91	48,579	45.09%	53,299		
Distribution losses- 9/30/91	<u>59,160</u>	54.91%	<u>64,909</u>		
	<u>107,739</u>		<u>118.208</u>		
Net Plant Output		1,757,962			
T&D Losses		118,208			
Interim PUC adopted line loss standard		6.7%			

	Delivered	Inventory
	Price	Price
May-08	86.19	
June-08	109.46	
July-08	96.24	86.19
August-08	66.06	98.63
September-08	47.70	104.46
October-08	47.34	54.44
November-08	47.62	47.50
December-08	48.88	47.47
January-09	48.88	48.14
February-09	48.88	48.88
March-09	51.03	48.88

:	Delivered Price	
May-08		
June-08		
July-08	190.63	Actual
August-08	94.14	Forecast
September-08	94.77	Forecast
October-08	95.78	Forecast
November-08	98.21	Forecast
December-08	98.21	Forecast
January-09	98.21	Forecast
February-09	102.49	Forecast
March-09	103.44	Forecast