BEFORE THE GUAM PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:) GPA Docket 15-27
The Guam Power Authority Levelized Energy Adjustment Clause (LEAC))) PUC COUNSEL REPORT)
)

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This matter comes before the Guam Public Utilities Commission ["PUC"] upon the Petition of the Guam Power Authority ["GPA"] to set the LEAC Factor effective February 1, 2016.¹
- 2. GPA requests that the PUC maintain the current Fuel Recovery Factor of \$.104871/kWh effective for meters read on or after February 1, 2016. The change would reflect no increase in the LEAC for this LEAC period.²

BACKGROUND

- 3. On July 16, 2015, the PUC set the LEAC Factor at \$0.104871 for the 6-month period commencing August 1, 2015. This factor represented a 1.4% increase in the total bill for a residential customer utilizing an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month (an additional \$2.82 per month).³
- 4. Although GPA had requested a larger increase in the LEAC Factor [i.e. to \$0.115688/kWh], the PUC determined that the Morgan Stanley fuel price forecasts had shown a decrease in the average price of fuel subsequent to the filing by GPA of its Petition.⁴
- 5. In its instant Petition, GPA submits that the LEAC factor for the upcoming 6-month period (February 1, 2016 through July 31, 2016) should remain the same. Although there has been "a slight decrease in fuel prices", GPA believes that certain events triggered by the Cabras 3&4 shutdown on August 31, 2015 (most importantly, a

¹ GPA Petition to Set the LEAC Factor effective February 1, 2016, GPA Docket 15-27, filed December 15, 2015.

² Id. at p. 1.

³ PUC Order, GPA Docket 15-15, dated July 16, 2015, at p. 5.

⁴ Id. at p. 4.

substantial increase in use of the more expensive Diesel No. 2 fuel), dictates that there be no change in the Fuel Recovery Factor.⁵

- 6. GPA's Petition demonstrates that, since the Cabras 3&4 explosion, GPA costs for No.2 Diesel Fuel have risen substantially. Since the explosion, GPA has been required to utilize the more expensive No.2 Diesel Fuel in order to run the fast track generators and CTs. The cost for No.2 Fuel has risen from approximately \$2M per month to between \$4 and \$5M per month.6
- 7. Although fuel prices have decreased, GPA is now incurring more fuel costs due to the use of the expensive No.2 Diesel Fuel for the fast track generators and the CTs.
- 8. GPA also indicates that, in accordance with prior discussions with the ALJ and the PUC Commissioners regarding the fuel pricing issue, GPA has, in its Petition, used the average of the 5 days forward pricing from Morgan Stanley Asia Morning Call dated November 24 through November 30, 2015.⁷

ANALYSIS

- 9. To determine applicable fuel prices herein, GPA used the average of 5 days forward pricing from Morgan Stanley Asia Morning Call dated November 24 through November 30, 2015.
- 10. Although GPA indicated that it would use the average of the 5 consecutive days' pricing "closest to the LEAC filing date", the 5 day period utilized by GPA (November 24 through November 30, 2015) was two weeks before GPA filed its Petition on December 15, 2015.
- 11. GPA indicates that it used the earlier November pricing period because the LEAC Petition had to be approved by the CCU Commissioners at its November meeting.⁸
- 12. The utilization by GPA of the MS fuel forecast pricing for the period of November 24 through November 30, 2015 does not appear to be appropriate: (1) the selected

⁵ Id. at p. 1; see also letter from General Manager Benavente to ALJ Horecky, dated December 14, 2015, re: Levelized Energy Adjustment Clause Petition for the period of February 1, 2016 through July 31, 2016, dated December 14, 2015, at p. 1.

⁶ See Attachment 1, Schedule 1 and Attachment II, Schedule 1 attached to GPA's Petition.

⁷ See Letter from General Manager Benavente to ALJ Horecky, dated December 14, 2015, at p. 2.

⁸ Phone Conference between PUC Counsel Horecky and Asst. CFO Montellano, December 28, 2015.

period occurred fifteen days before GPA filed its Petition; and (2) there was a substantial drop in fuel prices during December 2015.

- 13. Counsel requested that GPA Assistant CFO Cora Montellano recalculate the 5 day average of the MS fuel forecast.
- 14. On January 4, 2016, Ms. Montellano filed her updated "Proposed LEAC Rate".9
- 15. The "updated" calculation for LEAC (using the average of the MS Noon call dated December 24-31, 2015) indicates that its average price per barrel for No.6 RFO declined from the price utilized by GPA in its Petition from \$46.19 per barrel to \$38.71 per barrel. In addition, the average price per gallon of Diesel (No.2) had declined from \$1.66 per gallon to \$1.39 per gallon.¹⁰
- 16. Based upon the updated 5 day Morgan Stanley Fuel Price Forecast, Assistant CFO Montellano calculated that the applicable LEAC factor effective February 1, 2016, would be reduced from \$0.104871 per/kWh to \$0.088309 per/kWh, a decrease in LEAC of 15.8%.¹¹
- 17. However, Counsel also noted that, in GPA's proposed LEAC factor, GPA had applied the \$3M in insurance proceeds to reduce the beginning Fuel Cost under recovery for the LEAC period Feb. 1 to July 2016. GPA assumed that the insurance proceeds would become available at some point in the future.
- 18. Counsel concurs that it is appropriate for GPA to use insurance proceeds to offset the increased fuel costs. Since the Cabras explosion resulted in increased fuel costs for GPA, insurance proceeds should be used to cover such costs (i.e. reliance upon No.2 Diesel for the fast track generators and CTs). However, as of yet, the insurance company has not agreed to pay any specific amounts nor are such funds presently available to GPA to offset fuel costs.
- 19. Given the absence of any commitment for such funds or their availability, Counsel believes that it is prudent for GPA not to presently assume that such funds are available to offset the LEAC.

⁹ A copy of Assistant CFO Montellano's updated Proposed LEAC Rate is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
10 Id.

¹¹ Id.

- 20. Counsel thus requested that Assistant CFO Montellano update the Proposed LEAC Rate to take out the assumption that \$3M would immediately be available.
- 21. Upon further refinement of the calculations, Ms. Montellano calculated that only \$2M would be available for fuel costs from the insurance proceeds, rather than the \$3M initially anticipated. GPA anticipates that a total \$15M will be available as "Extra Expense Coverage" to cover the costs of the Aggreko temporary generation services. The annual costs for the Aggreko services for 2016 are estimated at approximately \$13M, leaving only \$2M for fuel expense. See "Monthly Payment Schedule-Aggreko", attached hereto as Exhibit "2".12
- 22. The updated Proposed LEAC factor, without the \$2M insurance proceeds, would be \$0.091656/kWh for residential customers on meters read on or after February 1, 2016. This updated calculation, without the \$2M, is set forth in the fourth column on Exhibit 1 [W/O \$2M Updated Effective 2/01/2016].¹³
- 23. Should GPA subsequently receive the \$2M in insurance proceeds, it should then apply such funds to the LEAC.
- 24. This proposed LEAC factor would represent a 6.6% decrease in the total bill and a 12.6% decrease in LEAC for a residential customer utilizing an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month. The average monthly decrease for such residential customer would be \$13.22.¹⁴

RECOMMENDATION

- 25. Counsel recommends that the PUC, for the 6-month period commencing February 1, 2016, decrease the LEAC Factor from \$0.104871 per/kWh to \$\$0.091656 for meters read on or after February 1, 2016.
- 26. A Proposed Order is submitted herewith for the consideration of the Commissioners.

¹² Exhibit 2.

¹³ Exhibit 1.

¹⁴ Id.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2016.

Frederick J. Horecky PUC Legal Counsel

GPA
Proposed LEAC Rate

1 Average Price per Bbl-RFO
2 Average Price per Gal-Diesel
3 Number 6 (HSFO/LSFO)
4 Number 2 (Diesel) (1) (2) (3)
5 Renewable (Solar)
6 TOTAL COST
7 Handling Costs
8 Total Current Fuel Expense
9 Civilian Allocation
10 LEAC Current Fuel Expense
11 Deferred Fuel Expense (4)
12 Total LEAC Expense
13 Less: Trans. Level Costs
14 Distribution Level Costs
15 Over recovery at the end of the period (5)
16 Adjusted Distribution Level Costs
17 Distribution Level Sales (mWh)
18 LEAC Factor Distribution
19 Current LEAC Factor Distribution
20 Increase/(Decrease)
21 Monthly Increase/(Decrease) - 1000 kWh
22 % Increase/(Decrease) in LEAC
23 % Increase/(Decrease) in Total Bill
24 Discount (3%) - Primary 13.8 KV
25 Discount (4%) - 34.5 KV
26 Discount (5%) - 115 KV

(6)		(7)		(8)		V/O \$2M
 Proposed ⁽⁶⁾		Ipdated ⁽⁷⁾		Jpdated ⁽⁸⁾		pdated ⁽⁸⁾
Eff 2/01/2016	Ef	f 2/01/2016	Ef	f 2/01/2016	Eff	2/01/2016
\$ 46.19	\$	40.80	\$	38.71	\$	38.71
\$ 1.66	\$	1.52	\$	1.39	\$	1.39
\$ 45,575	\$	40,252	\$	38,198	\$	38,198
19,430		17,714		16,236		16,236
5,630		5,630		5,630		5,630
\$ 70,636	\$	63,596	\$	60,065	\$	60,065
4,432		4,415		4,399		4,399
\$ 75,067	\$	68,012	\$	64,463	\$	64,463
79.15%		79.15%		79.15%		79.15%
\$ 59,417	\$	53,833	\$	51,024	\$	51,024
2,986		1,430		1,754		3,754
\$ 62,404	\$	55,263	\$	52,778	\$	54,778
(3,874)		(3,423)		(3,269)		(3,393)
\$ 58,529	\$	51,840	\$	49,509	\$	51,386
\$ 265						
\$ 58,794	\$	51,840	\$	49,509	\$	51,386
560,638		560,638		560,638		560,638
0.104371		0.602437		0.0000000		0.0011033
0.104871		0.104871		0.104871		0.104871
(0.000000)		(0.012404)		(0.016562)		(0.013215)
\$ (0.00)	\$	(12.40)	\$	(16.56)	\$	(13.22)
0.0%		-11.8%		-15.8%		-12.6%
0.0%		-6.2%	1	-8.3%		-6.6%
0.101512	377	0.000073		0.025943		(@ @2233)
0.101233		0.0000117		0.005003		0.0000000
0.00003777		0.0000016		0.002/13:15		0.037341

Notes:

- (1) Assuming Aggreko is online January 2016, fuel savings of at least \$5M in this LEAC period.
- (2) Assuming Cabras 2 is back online in March 2016 after major overhaul and producing from 45 MW to 66 MW.
- (3) Assuming Cabras 1 is back full load in June 2016 after installation of the new transformer.
- (4) The beginning Fuel Cost under recovery for the LEAC period Feb 1-Jul 2016 is reduced by \$3M insurance proceeds, \$2M is the updated amount in the
- (5) The LEAC rate will remain the same, as such the projected ending Fuel Cost over recovery is too minimal and will be carried forward to the next LEAC |
- (6) PUC filing using the average of MS Noon call dated November 24-30, 2015
- (7) Updated using average of MS Noon call dated December 7-11, 2015
- (8) Updated using average of MS Noon call dated December 24-31, 2015

GPA
Monthly Payment Schedule-Aggreko

		Jan-16		Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16
1 Capacity		40		40	40	40
2 Monthly Energy Production-Estimate		25,455		22,434	15,682	25,836
3 Energy Conversion Charge (\$4.40/MWh)	\$	112,002	\$	98,710	\$ 69,000	\$ 113,678
4 Shipping Costs Amortization	\$	104,456	\$	104,456	\$ 104,456	\$ 104,456
5 Capacity Fee (\$17.31/kW/Month)		691,697		691,697	691,697	691,697
6 Fixed O&M Charge (\$/Month)		185,630	_	185,630	185,630	185,630
7 Total Fixed Charge (4+5+6)	\$	981,783	<u>\$</u>	981,783	\$ 981,783	\$ 981,783
8 Total (Variable+Fixed) (3+7)	\$	1,093,785	\$	1,080,493	\$ 1,050,783	\$ 1,095,461
9 Purchase Order Amendment (Fire Lane)	<u>\$</u>	249,000		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
10 Grand Total	\$	1,342,785	<u>\$</u>	1,080,493	\$ 1,050,783	\$ 1,095,461

Note:

¹ The \$2M difference will be applied against the under recovery in the LEAC, assuming the Insurance will pay GPA's claim for Extra Expense of \$15

	May-16		Jun-16		Jul-16		Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16		Nov-16
	40		40		40		40	40	40		40
	20,481		14,400		14,400		15,027	14,400	16,484		14,400
\$	90,115	\$	63,360	\$	63,360	\$	66,119	\$ 63,360	\$ 72,530	<u>\$</u>	63,360
\$	104,456	\$	104,456	\$	104,456	\$	104,456	\$ 104,456	\$ 104,456	\$	104,456
	691,697		691,697		691,697		691,697	691,697	691,697		691,697
_	185,630		185,630	_	185,630	_	185,630	 185,630	185,630	_	185,630
\$	981,783	<u>\$</u>	981,783	\$	981,783	\$	981,783	\$ 981,783	\$ 981,783	\$	981,783
\$	1,071,898	\$	1,045,143	\$	1,045,143	\$	1,047,902	\$ 1,045,143	\$ 1,054,313	\$	1,045,143
	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
\$	1,071,898	\$	1,045,143	\$	1,045,143	\$	1,047,902	\$ 1,045,143	\$ 1,054,313	\$	1,045,143

C	F
EXTra	Expense

	D = 46		* 1		tra Expense	Variance ⁽¹⁾
	Dec-16		Total	ln:	s. Coverage	variance
	40					
	20,918		219,917			
\$	92,041	\$	967,635			
\$	104,456	\$	1,253,469			
	691,697		8,300,366			
_	185,630		2,227,560			
\$	981,783	\$	11,781,395			
\$	1,073,824	\$	12,749,030			
	<u>0</u>	_	249,000			
\$	1,073,824	<u>\$</u>	12,998,030	\$	15,000,000	\$2,001,970