

,	1	CONT.
IN THE MATTER OF:	GPA Docket 15-22	Miller
The Application of the Guam Power	PUC REQUESTS FOR IN:	FORMATION
Authority to Approve the Procurement of		
a Performance Management Contract	)	
(PMC) for Combustion Turbine Power	)	
Plants.	)	
	1	

The Guam Public Utilities Commission hereby requests that the Guam Power Authority respond to certain Requests for Information on or before December 3, 2015:

- 1. Neither the Petition nor the IFB contain any information concerning the cost of a PMC for the Combustion Turbine Power Plants. At present PUC does not know what the ratepayer impact of the PMC will be. Please provide the five year budget for the PMC, including fixed management fees, projected costs for rehabilitation, plant budgets (for Dededo, Yigo, and Macheche), life extension, Performance Improvement Projects cost, and all costs associated with each plant. If possible, provide estimates on an annual basis and for the 5-year period.
- 2. Provide all information in your possession regarding the projected cost of the PMC and the plant improvement/rehabilitation/life extension, and all other costs associated with the plants, for the 5-year period.
- 3. GPA has suggested that it will ask the Department of Defense/U.S. Military to bear a portion or all of the cost for the rehabilitation and operation of the Dededo CTs. At present, does GPA have any written agreement with DOD/U.S. Military to pay any amounts for the Dededo CTs? If so, please provide copies of such agreement(s).
- 4. If there is presently no agreement with DOD/U.S. Military, and no funds are forthcoming, how does GPA intend to pay for the rehabilitation of the Dededo CTs and all other costs associated with the PMC over the first 5-year period? Please identify specific funding source which GPA intends to use for PMC costs relating to the operation of the Dededo, Yigo, and Macheche Plants.
- 5. If no firm estimates are available, please provide GPA's best present estimate of the approximate cost for the PMC and the plant improvements which it seeks to procure for the 5-year period.

- 6. GPA has made numerous references to its intent that the selected PMC may later be utilized as a PMC for the TEMES CT. Is the proposed Performance Management Contract submitted by GPA sufficient to subsequently allow utilization of the PMC for the TEMES CT, or will GPA need to issue a separate procurement if and when it decides to utilize a PMC for the TEMES CT?
- 7. What would be the approximate annual cost, and plant budget, including all operating costs, for retaining a PMC for the TEMES CT on an annual / 5-year basis? From what source(s) would GPA intend to fund a PMC for the TEMES CT?
- 8. Are the Dededo, Yigo, and Macheche CTs currently capable of utilizing ultra-low sulfur diesel as a fuel source? Have all such plants been fitted with catalytic converters?
- 9. Has GPA already issued this procurement, or does it intend to modify all of the dates and deadlines set forth in the IFB?
- 10. What is the expected "rehabilitation" cost for the Dededo No.1 and Dededo No.2 CTs?

Submitted this 30th day of November, 2015.

Frederick J. Horecky

PUC Legal Counsel