BEFORE THE GUAM PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)	GPA Docket 20-13
The Guam Power Authority Levelized Energy Adjustment Clause (LEAC))	ALJ REPORT
)	



INTRODUCTION

- 1. This matter comes before the Guam Public Utilities Commission ["PUC"] upon the Petition of the Guam Power Authority ["GPA"] to set the LEAC Factor effective August 1, 2020, for the next six-month period.¹
- 2. GPA's Petition filed on May 22, 2020, requested that the Guam PUC maintain a Fuel Recovery Factor at \$.089177/kWh for the upcoming LEAC period.²
- 3. However, after GPA completed its updated Morgan Stanley Noon Call Pricing on July 20, 2020, it recognized that there had been a substantial increase in the cost of fuel. GPA filed with the PUC an updated request that the LEAC Factor be set at \$0.114568 for the period of August 1, 2020 through January 31, 2021 to fully recover the cost of fuel.³

BACKGROUND

4. During the past 6-month LEAC period commencing February 1, 2020, the PUC addressed the LEAC Factor on three occasions as a result of steep declines in fuel prices. During such period, the PUC reduced the LEAC Factor from \$0.154242/kWh to \$0.086800.4

¹ GPA LEAC Filing, GPA Docket 20-13, filed May 22, 2020.

² Id., at p. 1.

³ Email from Lenora M. Sanz, GPA Controller, to Frederick J. Horecky, PUC ALJ, dated July 20, 2020. See GPA Proposed LEAC Rate, Exhibit "A", attached hereto. Before the LEAC Factor is set for a particular period, GPA is required to provide an updated LEAC fuel forecast price based upon the average of the 5-day period which is 10 days before the meeting at which the PUC determines the LEAC Factor (PUC LEAC Order, GPA Docket 15-27, dated January 25, 2016, at p. 2).

⁴ See PUC Order, GPA Docket 20-03, dated January 30, 2020, at p. 5 (reduction of LEAC Factor from \$0.154242/kWh to \$0.131145/kWh); PUC Order, GPA Docket 20-12, dated March 26, 2020, at p. 3 (reduction of LEAC Factor from \$0.134474/kWh to \$0.110039/kWh); and PUC Order, GPA Docket 20-12, dated May 28, 2020, at p.4 (reduction of LEAC Factor from \$0.110039/kWh to \$0.08680/kWh).

- 5. During the prior LEAC period there was a nearly 50% reduction in the price of fuel. GPA's fuel pricing figures (per barrel) indicated a price of \$55.47 for February 2020 and \$30.48 for May 2020.⁵
- 6. On May 21, 2020, the Guam Consolidated Commission on Utilities authorized the General Manager of GPA to seek a proposed secondary voltage LEAC rate of \$0.089177/kWh.⁶
- 7. On May 28, 2020, the PUC set the secondary Fuel Recovery Factor of \$0.086800 for the months of June and July, 2020.⁷

ANALYSIS

8. There has been a substantial increase in the price of fuel since May, 2020. Morgan Stanley fuel pricing figures indicates the following:

	May 11	June 1	July 20 (5-day average)
SING HSFO 180CST (per metric ton)	\$157.89	\$212.85	\$253.50
SING Gasoil 10 PPM (per barrel)	\$34.66	\$41.54	\$49.528

9. GPA has also indicated substantial price increases for the average prices per bbl-RFO for the most recent fuel purchases:

May-2020:

 $$30.48^{9}$

July-2020:

 $$45.28^{10}$

⁵ PUC Order, GPA Docket 20-12, dated May 28, 2020, at p. 2.

⁶ Guam Consolidated Commission on Utilities, GPA Resolution No.: 2020-09, dated May 21, 2020.

⁷ PUC Order, GPA Docket 20-12, dated May 28, 2020, at p. 2.

⁸ These prices are compiled from the Morgan Stanley Asia Morning Call Price Indications dated May 11, 2020, June 1, 2020, and July 9, 13, 14, 15, and 16 five-day average.

⁹ GPA Work Session, July 23, 2020 (GM Report, "LEAC Update—GPA Fuel Purchases (Per Barrel)".

¹⁰ See Exhibit A attached hereto, "Average Price per bbl-RFO".

Average prices per bbl-diesel have increased from \$51.01 as of May 11, 2020 to \$63.50 on July 20, 2020.¹¹ Thus, there have been market price increases in the cost of fuel of over 25% and actual purchase price increases in the amount of nearly 50%.

- 10. A second factor that has increased the cost of fuel are price increases in the new contract for Residual Fuel Oil No. 6 for the Baseload Power Generating Plants that GPA has recently negotiated with Hyundai Corporation. If approved by the PUC, that contract, which will be effective on September 1, 2020, will substantially increase Fixed Premium Fee costs for the delivery of residual fuel oil.¹²
- 11. The Average Fixed Premium Fee for Hyundai Corporation of \$105.417/MT (\$16.57/bbl) for the 3-year contract period is approximately 44% higher than the current contract average Fixed Premium Fee of \$73.227/MT (\$11.514/bbl) for the same period. The estimated cost increase in Fixed Premium for the 3-year base period is approximately \$27,837,264.13
- 12. The estimated cost increase in the Fixed Premium for the 3-year base period is approximately \$27,837,264. This will result in fuel cost increases of over \$9M per year, which costs will be included in the fuel prices upon which the LEAC Factor is based.
- 13. The cost increase in the Fixed Premium Fee will result in an approximate LEAC increase of \$0.000618 per kWh.¹⁴
- 14. Given the substantial increases in fuel prices, the issue is what appropriate action the PUC should take with regard to the LEAC factor for the next six-month period.
- 15. After the ALJ received the GPA request for a "full recovery" new LEAC Factor of \$0.114568 on July 20, 2020, he asked that GPA calculate the LEAC Factor based upon a 50% recovery of fuel cost. ¹⁵ GPA provided the Fuel Clause Reconciliation with a

¹¹ There prices are compiled from the Morgan Stanley Asia Morning Call Price Indications dated May 11, 2020, and the five-day averages for July 9, 13, 14, 14, and 16.

¹² GPA Petition to Approve the Contract for Residual Fuel Oil No. 6 for the Baseload Power Generating Plants, GPA Docket 20-17, filed July 10, 2020.

¹³ CCU Resolution No. 2020-16, Authorizing the Management of the Guam Power Authority to Petition the Public Utilities Commission to Award the Contract for the Supply of Residual Fuel Oil No. 6, adopted July 23, 2020.

¹⁴ Contract Cost Analysis, provided in email from GPA Legal Counsel Graham Botha to PUC ALJ Fred Horecky dated July 22, 2020.

¹⁵ Phone conference between GPA Counsel Graham Botha and PUC ALJ Fred Horecky on July 20, 2020.

50% recovery to the ALJ on the same day, which would set a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026.¹⁶

- 16. At its July 23, 2020, work session on GPA, the Guam Consolidated Commission on Utilities received an updated report from GM John Benavente on LEAC. GM Benavente presented three possible alternatives regarding PUC LEAC action for the upcoming six-month period: (1) leave the current LEAC rate of \$0.086800 in effect; (2) raise the LEAC Factor to \$0.114568 for "full recovery"; or (3) provide "50% recovery" with a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026. These three scenarios (labelled as A, B, and C respectively), are set forth in the "LEAC-Update" attached hereto as Exhibit "C".17
- 17. Scenario A, maintaining the current LEAC Factor of \$0.086800 in effect for the next six-month period, would result in an under-recovery of \$16,962,000 by January 31, 2021.¹⁸ The estimated under-recovery at the end of July, 2020, is \$3,251,000.¹⁹
- 18. In the ALJ's opinion, that level of under-recovery is not acceptable. That level of under-recovery is higher than the previous high for GPA under-recovery, which was \$16,775,982, on August 1, 2017.²⁰ The PUC has taken numerous actions over the past three years to reduce the level of under-recovery and, to the extent possible, reimburse GPA for its actual fuel costs. Maintaining the current LEAC Factor in effect for the next six months would likely result in a huge increase in such factor for ratepayers in the next LEAC period.
- 19. Scenario B would set the LEAC Factor at \$0.114568 for the next six-month period.
- 20. A LEAC Factor for the next six-month period of \$0.114568 is based upon the presumption of <u>full recovery of fuel costs</u>. If the requested LEAC Factor were implemented, GPA would fully recover all costs of fuel and there would be no under-recover balance by January 31, 2021. In theory, the LEAC procedure is designed to recover the full cost of fuel expenses made by GPA.
- 21. Setting the LEAC Factor at \$0.114568 would result in a 31.99% increase in LEAC, and a 15.31% increase in the total bill.²¹

¹⁶ See Exhibit "B" attached hereto.

¹⁷ GPA Work Session, July 23, 2020 (GM Report, "LEAC Update", attached hereto as Exhibit "C".

¹⁹ GPA Work Session, July 23, 2020 (GM Report, LEAC Under Recovery Balaances.

²⁰ GPA Work Session, July 23, 2020 (GM Report, "Historic LEAC Over (Under) Recovery.

²¹ Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

- 22. The ALJ believes that setting the factor at that level, with a nearly \$0.03 increase per kWh, would be a too substantial and dramatic an increase upon ratepayers, particularly in light of current economic conditions.
- 23. GPA has often deviated from the "full recovery" principal in order to "lessen the large impact of a LEAC increase upon ratepayers." Rather than recovering 100% of the fuel cost under-recovery in a particular LEAC period, "GPA prefers to gradually true-up the under-recovery by phasing in increases over subsequent LEAC periods."²²
- 24. Scenario C would provide a "50% recovery" with a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026. GM Benavente indicated at the GPA Work Session on July 23, 2020, that he supported the 50% recovery option with a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026 for the next six-month period. The ALJ concurs that Scenario C is the most appropriate option for the PUC to adopt.
- 25. The GPA Fuel Clause Reconciliation attached hereto as Exhibit "C" indicates that, at a 50% cost recovery for fuel, there would be a 13.23% increase in the LEAC Factor and a 7.29% increase in the total bill. The proposed LEAC Rate Factor, at \$0.100026, is attached to the ALJ Report as Exhibit "D".²³ Increasing the LEAC Factor is appropriate based upon the rise in fuel prices. This proposed LEAC Factor is still considerably lower than the \$0.15154242/kWh Factor that prevailed in January, 2020.
- 26. With the adoption of a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026, at the end of the LEAC period as of January 31, 2021, GPA estimates that the under-recovery balance will be approximately \$8.5M.²⁴ While such under-recovery balance is still high, it is a better option than allowing the under-recovery to increase to over \$16M.
- 27. GPA has previously accepted LEAC Factors with recovery of 50% of the fuel cost.²⁵ Mitigation of ratepayer impact is an appropriate factor for GPA and the PUC to consider and implement.²⁶

²² PUC Counsel Report, GPA Docket 18-05, dated January 29, 2018, at p. 2.

²³ Proposed LEAC Rate, attached hereto as Exhibit "D", provided in an email from GPA Controller Lenora Sanz to PUC ALJ Fred Horecky, dated July 27, 2020.

²⁴ Email from Lenora M. Sanz, to Frederick J. Horecky, PUC ALJ, dated July 20, 2020.

²⁵ PUC Order, GPA Docket 18-05, dated January 30, 2018, at p. 2.

²⁶ Id., at p. 3.

- 28. In subsequent LEAC periods, the PUC can assess fuel price trends and determine the best approach for reducing the under-recovery.
- 29. As the PUC has previously determined, because of the economic hardships being experienced by many of GPA's customers during the COVID19 pandemic, it is particularly important at the present time to keep the LEAC Factor as low as possible.²⁷

RECOMMENDATION

- 30. The Administrative Law Judge recommends that PUC approve a LEAC Factor of \$0.100026 on meters read on and after August 1, 2020.
- 31. A Proposed Order is submitted herewith for the consideration of the Commissioners.

Dated this 27th day of July, 2020.

Frederick J. Horecky

Chief Administrative Law Judge

²⁷ PUC Order, GPA's Interim LEAC, GPA Docket 20-12, dated May 28, 2020, at p. 3.

Full Recovery

GPA Proposed LEAC Rate (\$000)

		ruii kecovery
		Pricing 07.09.20 to 07.16.20 Aug 20- Jan 21
Average Price per Bbl-RFO	\$	45.28
Average Price per Bbl-Diesel	4	63.50
Number 6 (HSFO/LSFO)	\$ \$	43,410
Number 2 (Diesel)	•	25,389
Renewable (Solar)		5,706
TOTAL COST	\$	74,505
Handling Costs	,	6,257
Total Current Fuel Expense	\$	80,762
Civilian Allocation		80.768%
LEAC Current Fuel Expense	\$	65,230
Estimated DSM for this period	\$	1,500
Deferred Fuel Expense at the beginning of the period	50	3,251
Total LEAC Expense	\$	69,980
Less: Trans. Level Costs		(3,162)
Distribution Level Costs	\$	66,819
Over recovery at the end of the period	\$	-
Adjusted Distribution Level Costs	\$	66,819
Distribution Level Sales (mWh)		583,225
LEAC Factor Distribution		0.114568
Current LEAC Factor Distribution		0.086800
Increase/(Decrease)		0.02777
Monthly Increase/(Decrease) - 1000 kWh	\$	27.77
% Increase/(Decrease) in LEAC		31.99%
% Increase/(Decrease) in Total Bill		15.31%
Discount (3%) - Primary 13.8 KV	\$	0.111170
Discount (4%) - 34.5 KV	\$	0.110850
Discount (5%) - 115 KV	\$	0.109485

1 Start Date 2 Total Sales 3 Daily Sales 4 Plant Use 5 Transmission Loss 5a Transmission Loss Above 13.8kV 6 Distribution Loss 7 Company Use 8 Total Daily Demand											Total FY 20 1,530,056 4,192 4,27% 0,31% 2,25% 3,11% 0,26%		Total FY 21 1,544,252 4,231 4.27% 0.31% 2.25% 3.11% 0.26%		FY 20 <u>Civilian</u> 1,221,890 3,348 142.80 10.32 75.25 104.20 8.83	C	FY 21 ivilian 1,242,760 3,405 145,24 10,49 76,53 105,98 8,98		FY 20 Navy 308,166 844 36.01 18.98
9 Month			Aug-20		Sep-20		Oct-20		Nov-20		<u>Dec-20</u>		Jan-21		3.689.05 TOTALS		3.752.05 Total		901.51
10 Days 11 Required Generation-Civilian 12 Required Generation-Navy			31 Forecast 114,360 27,947		Forecast 110,671 27,045	1	50recast 116,314 27,342		30 Forecast 112,562 26,460		31 Forecast 116,314 27,342		Forecast 116,314 27,342		686,534 163,477		80.768% 19.232%		
13 TOTAL REQUIRED GENERATION			142,307		137,717		143,655		139,021		143,655		143,655		850,011				
14 Number 6 (HSFO/LSFO) 15 Number 2 (GPA) 16 Renewables 17 TOTAL COST 18 Handling Costs 19 TOTAL EXPENSE			6,164,309 4,995,316 700,994 11,860,618 987,517 12,848,135	\$	6,209,342 3,927,939 1,023,268 11,160,549 1,074,927 12,235,476	\$	7,435,460 4,375,303 <u>998,634</u> 12,809,396 <u>1,048,942</u> 13,858,338	\$	3,693,319 1,066,070 12,554,808 1,048,747	\$	7,443,473 4,558,862 1,028,832 13,031,167 1,048,607 14,079,775	\$	8,361,740 3,837,977 888,442 13,088,159 1,048,588 14,136,747		43,409,742 25,388,715 5,706,240 74,504,697 6,257,329 80,762,026	Schedu Schedu	le 3 le 4		
Calculation of Civilian Factor																			
20 Sales-Civilian la Sales-At Transmission Level lb Sales			105,440 <u>4,796</u> 100,644		101,344 <u>4,641</u> 96,703		104,928 <u>4,796</u> 100,132		100,392 <u>4,641</u> 95,751		102,206 4,796 97,410		97,382 <u>4,796</u> 92,586		611,692 28,467 583,225				
a Fuel Cost Recovery @ 13.8 kV b Fuel Cost Recovery @ "Transmission" c Total Recovery	\$100.026		10,067,061 <u>532,656</u> 10,599,718		9,672,846 <u>515,474</u> 10,188,320		10,015,803 532,656 10,548,460		515,474		9,743,595 <u>532,656</u> 10,276,251		9,260,993 <u>532,656</u> 9,793,649	\$	58,337,882 <u>3,161,573</u> 61,499,454				
22 Civilian Costs (Total Expense x %) a Deferred Fuel Amort	80.768%		10,377,143				11,193,050				11,371,909		11,417,925	\$	65,229,627 <u>0</u>				
E3 Under/(Over) E4 Estimated Under/(Over) E5 Net Recovery Under/(Over)		\$	(222,575)	\$	(306,008)	\$	644,601	\$	894,221	\$	1,095,658	\$	1,624,276	\$	3,730,172				
!6 Proposed Fuel Cost Recovery														\$	111.9523	Proposed	Rate Without Di	scount	
Estimated DSM for this period Civilian Clause Reconciliation		\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	1,500,000.00				
Opening Recovery Balance-Jul 31, 2020 Under/{Over} Closing Recovery Balance		\$ \$	3,250,772 (222,575) 3,278,197		3,278,197 (306,008) 3,222,189	\$ \$	3,222,189 644,601 4,116,790	\$ 01 01	4,116,790 894,221 5.261.010	\$ \$	6,606,668	\$ \$\$	6,606,668 1,624,276 8,480,944 16,961,888		8,480,944		e/(increase)	in Dej	ferred Fuel
Bills Computed at 1000 kWh/month	Current Rates (1)	distriction	Current	-	Rate to ully recover		Increase (Decrease)		3	Adju	sted LEAC Rate.				Recover	Current	ective		
Customer Charge \$/month Non Fuel Energy Charges (\$/Kwh)	\$ 15.00	\$	15.00		15.00		-				Customer ndary : 13.8 KV ary : 13.8 KV			5	0.100026 0.111170		Apr-20 0.110039 0.106727		111.14%
Lifeline Usage (S00 Kwh) Non Lifeline Usage	\$ 0.0696 \$ 0.0869		34.78 43.44		34.78 43.44					14.5	kv			5	0.110850	\$	0.106420		110.82%
WaterWell Charge Lifeline Usage (500 Kwh) Non Lifeline Usage	0.00000	5		5 5		5					omer			,		Current I	0.105110 Rate Feb-20		109.46%
Insurance Charge WCF Surcharge Roll Back Credit (RBC)	0	\$ 5	•	\$ \$	-	5 5			1	eco	ndary - 13.# KV ary - 13.8 KV						0.134474 0.130425		
Fuel Recovery Charge TOTAL BIII	v	5	86.80 181.41	\$		5	13.23			115							0.130050 0.128449		
Increase (Decrease) From Current Bill Percent Increase (Decrease) Increase (Decrease) From Current Leac Factor Percent Increase (Decrease)	1			\$	13.23 7.29% 13.23 15.24%														

LEAC - Update

	ACCES 1	Ü	Current	Sc	Scenario A - Remain As Is	Rema	in As Is		Scenario B - Full Recovery	3 - Full F	Recovery		Scenario C - 50% Recovery	- 50% Reco	/erv
		ラ	June 1	A	August 1				August 1				August 1		
	Second 1	5	to July 31	Ö	to Jan. 2021	Va	Variance	to	to Jan. 2021		Variance		to Jan. 2021	Variance	*
Rate		O 49	\$ 0.086800	44	0.086800	•	0.0%		0.114568	\$ 0.02	7768 32	%0"	\$ 0.114568 \$ 0.027768 32.0% \$ 0.100026	\$0.013226 15.2%	15.2%
(in '000) Under Recovery on July 31, 2020	020	•	3,251												
Under Recovery on Jan. 31, 2021	021			49	16,962			s	•				\$ 8,481.00		
Average Price per Bbl-RFO Average Price per Bbl-Diesel		w w	37.51 66.51	•	44.07			w w	44.07				\$ 44.07		





109

Average Price per Bbl-RFO
Average Price per Bbl-Diesel
Number 6 (HSFO/LSFO)
Number 2 (Diesel)
Renewable (Solar)
TOTAL COST
Handling Costs
Total Current Fuel Expense
Civilian Allocation
LEAC Current Fuel Expense
Estimated DSM for this period
Deferred Fuel Expense at the beginning of the period
Under
Total LEAC Expense
Less: Trans. Level Costs
Distribution Level Costs
Over recovery at the end of the period
Adjusted Distribution Level Costs
Distribution Level Sales (mWh)
LEAC Factor Distribution
Current LEAC Factor Distribution
Increase/(Decrease)
Monthly Increase/(Decrease) - 1000 kWh
% Increase/(Decrease) in LEAC
% Increase/(Decrease) in Total Bill
Discount (3%) - Primary 13.8 KV
Discount (4%) - 34.5 KV
Discount (5%) - 115 KV

Γ	Full Recovery	Γ	50% Recovery
	MS Pricing 07.09.20 to 07.16.20 Aug 20- Jan 21		MS Pricing 07.09.20 to 07.16.20 Aug 20- Jan 21
\$	45.28	\$	45.28
\$ \$	63.50	\$	63.50
\$	43,410	\$	43,410
	25,389		25,389
	5,706		5,706
\$	74,505	\$	74,505
	6,257		6,257
\$	80,762	\$	80,762
	80.768%		80.768%
\$	65,230	\$	65,230
\$	1,500	\$	1,500
\$	3,251	\$	3,251
L			(8,481)
\$	69,980	\$	61,499
L	(3,162)		(3,162)
\$	66,819	\$	58,338
\$	-	\$	-
\$	66,819	\$	58,338
	583,225		583,225
	0.114568		0.100026
	0.086800		0.086800
	0.02777		0.01323
\$	27.77	\$	13.23
	31.99%		15.24%
	15.31%		7.29%
\$		\$	0.097697
\$	0.110850	\$	0.097416
5	0.109485	\$	0.096217