

BEFORE THE GUAM PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: ) GPA Docket 26-06  
DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT )  
INITIATIVES )  
 ) PUC REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION  
 )  
 )  
 )

---




The Guam Public Utilities Commission ["PUC"] hereby requests that the Guam Power Authority ["GPA"] respond to the enclosed Requests for Information on or before February 13, 2026.

1. Please provide a copy of the "Guam Power Authority Demand Side Management Review," prepared by UFS dated February 4, 2024.
2. GPA's Petition Requests additional budget/funding for new Demand Side Initiatives in the amount of \$786,500 for CY2026 and \$506,500 for CY2027. Are these amounts **in addition to** the annual LEAC funded budget for DSM in the amount of \$3M, or will the cost for these new initiatives be paid out of the existing budget?
3. If the funding for these new initiatives is not included in the existing annual \$3M budget, please specify what the total annual budgets would be for CY2026 and CY2027 if the new initiatives are approved.
4. In the formula specified, GPA recovers \$1.5M per 6-month LEAC period in a ratepayer charge through LEAC of \$0.003 per kWh. Is this the current per kWh charge through LEAC that GPA is using to calculate the \$1.5M per 6-month LEAC period? If not, what current per kWh charge is GPA using?
5. The cost for the new initiatives for CY2026 and 2027 is \$1,293,000. How and when will these amounts be charged to ratepayers? By how much will these amounts increase the LEAC rate for ratepayers?
6. To funds these new DSM initiatives, is GPA proposing to increase the per kWh charge through LEAC? If so, what would the new ratepayer charge per kWh be?

7. In GPA Docket 20-05, GPA was ordered to comply with certain requirements regarding the DSM charges to ratepayers. GPA was authorized to recover \$1.5M during each LEAC period through the imposition of a per kilowatt charge of \$0.003 on all meters read on or after July 1, 2020. The Order in GPA Docket 20-05 stated that there would be a “true-up” of the DSM charges in January of 2021. Was there ever a true-up conducted of the DSM charge of \$1.5M for LEAC period or the per kilowatt charge of \$0.003? (see copy of the Order in GPA Docket 20-05 attached hereto).
8. Please provide copies of any “true-up” that has been conducted by GPA on the DSM LEAC charges.
9. GPA was further required to submit with its normal LEAC filing a “true-up” of the amount collected in the prior LEAC period and whether the amount collected was more or less than the anticipated \$1.5M. Has GPA been complying with this reporting requirements? If so, please provide copies of any true-ups provided in GPA’s Petitions in the last two LEAC proceedings, or any document evidencing compliance with the true-up requirements.
10. GPA Resolution No. FY2026-10 states that there is an existing account balance in the Energy Sense Account of \$1.8 million. Will these funds be used to fund the expanded demand sides initiatives requested? Aren’t such funds sufficient to cover the cost of the new DSM initiatives proposed without recovery of any increased cost through LEAC?
11. Please provide the most recent and up to date full accounting for the Energy Sense Account and the budgets for such account for calendar years 2025, 2026, and proposed budget for 2027. Provide documents which indicates budgeted amounts, contributed funds obtained through LEAC, expenses, withdrawals, etc., for the DSM program.
12. In Attachment A to GPA Resolution No. FY2026-10, GPA is proposing at least 11 new DSM initiatives for adoption and implementation. These include EV Managed Charging Services Pilot, Hot Water Controller 100 Unit Pilot, Home Area Network Services, New Online Home Energy Assessment, Commercial LED Expansion, Electrical Transient Analyzer Program, PV Design and Calculation Annual Software and others. Please describe in detail the steps and process which GPA went through to select these 11 new initiatives. Did GPA work with its consultants in selecting these new initiatives?

13. Provide any documents or work papers which GPA prepared concerning these new initiatives.
14. Provide any written analysis or recommendations in GPA's possession concerning these initiatives.
15. If not provided in documentation requested above, what is the justification for adoption and implementation of these initiatives?
16. Provide any consultant reports which analyze the new initiatives requested, or state reasons for adoption of these initiatives.
17. In prior dockets, there was an established process used by GPA and PUC for adoption of DSM initiatives. That process involved a period of more than 120 days for discussion of the proposed DSM initiatives between GPA, its consultant, and the PUC consultant. Should PUC approve these new initiatives before such a process is undertaken regarding the new initiatives proposed? Can the PUC conclude at present that due diligence has been conducted on these new proposed initiatives?

Submitted on this 11th day of February, 2026.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frederick J. Horecky  
Chief Administrative Law Judge